



C A No. Applied for
Complaint No. 110/2024

In the matter of:

Mohd Naeem

.....Complainant

VERSUS

BSES Yamuna Power Limited

.....Respondent

Quorum:

1. Mr. P.K. Singh, Chairman
2. Mr. P.K. Agrawal, Member (Legal)
3. Mr. S.R. Khan, Member (Technical)

Appearance:

1. Mr. Nishikant Ray, Counsel of the complainant
2. Ms. Kavya, Mr. R.S. Bisht, Mr. Akshat Aggarwal & Mr. Lalit, on behalf of respondent

ORDER

Date of Hearing: 17th September, 2024

Date of Order: 19th September, 2024

Order Pronounced By:- Mr. P.K. Agrawal, Member (Legal)

1. The brief facts of the case giving rise to this grievance are that the complainant applied for new electricity connection at premises no. H. No.-2561, First Floor, Right Side, Bara Chamam Wada, Fatak Habash Khan, Tilak Bajar, Delhi-110006, vide requests no. 8006707402. The application of complainant was rejected by Opposite Party (OP) BYPL on the pretext of two unit at first floor and two meter are already exists, but complainant stated that his request has been declined on false grounds.

Attested True Copy

Secretary
CGRF (BYPL)

Complaint No. 110/2024

2. The respondent in reply briefly stated that the present complaint has been filed by the complainant seeking new domestic electricity connection at right side of first floor of the property bearing no. 2561, Bara Chaman, Wada Fatak, Habash Khan, Tilak Bazar, Delhi-110006, vide requests no. 8006707402. The application of the new connection was rejected on account of two units on the first floor and already two connections are existing at first floor. It is also submitted that building structure consists of ground plus five floors over it. On each floor there are two units except for third floor which has only one unit. On ground floor commercial activity was found.

At present 7 electricity connections are existing. The details of the existing connections are as under:-

| S.NO. | METER NO. | FLOOR WISE | CATEGORY | D.O.I. |
|-------|-----------|--------------|----------|------------|
| 1. | 70032063 | First Floor | DX | 12.04.2018 |
| 2. | 35360868 | First Floor | DX | 13.04.2017 |
| 3. | 55273668 | Second Floor | DX | 21.02.2017 |
| 4. | 35462910 | Second Floor | DX | 07.12.2017 |
| 5. | 25326605 | Third Floor | DX | 11.12.2017 |
| 6. | 35446835 | Fourth Floor | DX | 07.11.2017 |
| 7. | 35392190 | Fourth Floor | DX | 19.07.2017 |

However as First Floor is already electrified as such as per DERC (Supply Code and Performance Standards) Regulations, 2017 no new electricity connection can be granted.

3. Counsel for the complainant in its rejoinder refuted the contentions of the respondent as averred in their reply and submitted that already two connections are there in the applied building on the first floor and

For
Signature

Attested True Copy

Secretary
CGRF (BYPL)

Signature

Signature
2 of 4

Complaint No. 110/2024

There are two flats, one flat belongs to the applicant and other flat belongs to some other person, there are two electricity connections at first floor whose CA No. are 152137816 meter no. 35360868 in the name of Mohd. Qasim but connection is going/using/running on the fifth floor, and CA No. is 152509717, meter no. 70032063 in the name of Praveen Mehra on the other first floor flat. It is further submitted that applicant's flat already had an electricity connection having CA no. 151611962 but due to outstanding dues, the said connection got disconnected.

4. Heard arguments of both the parties at length.
5. During the course of arguments OP was directed to provide K. No. file of connection in the name of Mohd Qasim, in whose name connection was granted at First floor and same is catering electricity at fifth floor of the applied premises as alleged by the complainant.

The K. No. file was submitted by OP and details of the connections installed in the building. From the perusal of K. No. file of the connection of Mohd Qasim, it is clearly evident that the connection of Mohd Qasim was energized for First floor in property bearing no. 2561, Bara Chaman, Wada Fatak, Habash Khan, Tilak Bazar, Delhi-110006. Thus, the contention of the complainant that the said connection is being used at fifth floor of the applied building cannot be relied upon. Complainant has not produced any evidence on record in support of his contention.

For
A. H. H. H.

SP *by* *✓*
3 of 4

Attested True Copy

Secretary
CGRF (BYPL)

Complaint No. 110/2024

6. In view of above, we are of considered opinion that the new connection application of the complainant is not feasible. OP has rightly rejected the application of new connection of the complainant.


ORDER

The complaint is rejected. OP is rightly rejected the application of the complainant for new connection

The case is disposed off as above.

No order as to the cost. Both the parties should be informed accordingly.


(S.R. KHAN)
MEMBER (TECH.)


(P.K. AGRAWAL)
MEMBER (LEGAL)


(P.K. SINGH)
CHAIRMAN

4 of 4


Attested True Copy

Secretary
CORE (BYPL)